

Strong monogamy of multi-party quantum entanglement

Jeong San Kim¹

1) *Department of Mathematics, University of Suwon, Kyungki-do 445-743, Korea*

Corresponding Author : Jeong San Kim, freddie1@suwon.ac.kr

ABSTRACT

We provide a strong evidence for strong monogamy inequality of multi-qubit entanglement recently proposed in [B. Regula *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 110501 (2014)]. We consider a large class of multi-qubit generalized *W*-class states, and analytically show that the strong monogamy inequality of multi-qubit entanglement is saturated by this class of states.

PRIMARY HEADING

Whereas classical correlation can be freely shared among parties in multi-party systems, quantum entanglement is restricted in its shareability; if a pair of parties are maximally entangled in multipartite systems, they cannot have any entanglement nor classical correlations with the rest of the system. This restriction of entanglement shareability among multi-party systems is known as the *monogamy of entanglement* (MoE) [1].

The first mathematical characterization of MoE was established by Coffman-Kundu-Wootters (CKW) for three-qubit systems [2] as an inequality; for a three-qubit pure state $|\psi\rangle_{ABC}$ with its one-qubit and two-qubit reduced density matrices $\rho_A = \text{tr}_{BC}|\psi\rangle_{ABC}\langle\psi|$, $\text{tr}_C|\psi\rangle_{ABC}\langle\psi| = \rho_{AB}$ and $\text{tr}_B|\psi\rangle_{ABC}\langle\psi| = \rho_{AC}$ respectively,

$$\tau(|\psi\rangle_{A|BC}) \geq \tau(\rho_{A|B}) + \tau(\rho_{A|C}), \quad (1)$$

where $\tau(|\psi\rangle_{A|BC})$ is the *tangle* of the pure state $|\psi\rangle_{ABC}$ quantifying the bipartite entanglement between *A* and *BC*, and $\tau(\rho_{A|B})$ and $\tau(\rho_{A|C})$ are the tangles of the two-qubit reduced states $\rho_{AB} = \text{tr}_C|\psi\rangle_{ABC}\langle\psi|$ and $\rho_{AC} = \text{tr}_B|\psi\rangle_{ABC}\langle\psi|$, respectively. Later, CKW inequality was generalized for multi-qubit systems [3] and some cases of higher-dimensional quantum systems in terms of various entanglement measures [4][5][6][7].

Recently, a *strong monogamy*(SM) inequality of multi-qubit entanglement was proposed by conjecturing the nonnegativity of the *n*-tangle [8]. For the validity of SM inequality, an extensive numerical evidence was presented for four qubit systems together with analytical proof for some cases of multi-qubit systems. However, proving SM conjecture analytically for arbitrary multi-qubit states seems to be a formidable challenge due to the numerous optimization processes arising in the definition of *n*-tangle. Here we consider a large class of multi-qubit states, *generalized W-class states*, and analytically show that SM inequality proposed in [8] is saturated by this class of states.

STRONG MONOGAMY INEQUALITY

Strong monogamy of multi-qubit entanglement was proposed as [8],

$$\tau \left(|\psi\rangle_{A_1|A_2\dots A_n} \right) \geq \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} \sum_{\vec{j}^m} \tau \left(\rho_{A_1|A_{j_1^m}|\dots|A_{j_{m-1}^m}} \right)^{m/2}, \quad (2)$$

where the index vector $\vec{j}^m = (j_1^m, \dots, j_{m-1}^m)$ spans all the ordered subsets of the index set $\{2, \dots, n\}$ with $(m-1)$ distinct elements, and for each $m = 2, \dots, n-1$, the m -tangle for multi-qubit mixed state is defined by convex-roof extension,

$$\tau \left(\rho_{A_1|A_{j_1^m}|\dots|A_{j_{m-1}^m}} \right) = \left[\min_{\{p_h, |\psi_h\rangle\}} \sum_h p_h \sqrt{\tau \left(|\psi_h\rangle_{A_1|A_{j_1^m}|\dots|A_{j_{m-1}^m}} \right)} \right]^2, \quad (3)$$

with the minimization over all possible pure state decompositions

$$\rho_{A_1 A_{j_1^m} \dots A_{j_{m-1}^m}} = \sum_h p_h |\psi_h\rangle_{A_1 A_{j_1^m} \dots A_{j_{m-1}^m}} \langle \psi_h|. \quad (4)$$

Here we show that the inequality (6) is saturated by multi-qubit W-class states [9]

$$|\psi\rangle_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} = a|00\dots 0\rangle + b_1|10\dots 0\rangle + b_2|01\dots 0\rangle + \dots + b_n|00\dots 1\rangle. \quad (5)$$

In other words, for any W-class state in Eq. (5), we have

$$\tau \left(|\psi\rangle_{A_1|A_2\dots A_n} \right) = \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} \sum_{\vec{j}^m} \tau \left(\rho_{A_1|A_{j_1^m}|\dots|A_{j_{m-1}^m}} \right)^{m/2}. \quad (6)$$

REFERENCES

1. Terhal B. M., IBM J. Research and Development **48** 71, 2004.
2. Coffman V., Kundu J. and Wootters W. K., Phys. Rev. A **61** 052306, 2000.
3. Osborne T. and Verstraete F., Phys. Rev. Lett. **96** 220503, 2006.
4. Kim J. S., Das A. and Sanders B. C., Phys. Rev. A **79** 012329, 2009.
5. Kim J. S. and Sanders B. C., J. Phys. A: Math. and Theor. **43** 445305, 2010.
6. Kim J. S., Phys. Rev. A. **81** 062328, 2010.
7. Kim J. S. and Sanders B. C., J. Phys. A: Math. and Theor. **44** 295303, 2011.
8. Regula B., Martino S. D., Lee S. and Adesso G., Phys. Rev. Lett. **113** 110501, 2014.
9. Kim J. S. and Sanders B. C., J. Phys. A **41** 495301, 2008.